

is another statute that applies and it has basically a similar set of protections in terms of hearings, simply maybe another procedural difference?

SENATOR HALL: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Yeah, Mr. President, Senator Wesely, metropolitan class cities would be covered under Section 14-137, 138, primary cities under Section 15-402 to 404, and first class cities Section 16-401 to 405, second class cities Section 17-613 to 615, and all classes Section 19-3701, which if Senator Hartnett's staff hadn't given it to me I wouldn't have had the vaguest idea. (Laughter.)

SENATOR WESELY: Well, they're covered it looks like, so (laughter) that answered my question. Thank you.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Is there anyone else who would care to debate the advancement to E & R Initial of LB 441? Seeing none, Senator Warner, to close. Waives closing. The question is, shall the bill be advanced? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 31 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the advancement of LB 441.

SENATOR HALL: The bill is advanced. Next item, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 202, a bill introduced by Senator Landis. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 8 of last year, referred to Judiciary, advanced to General File. I have committee amendments pending by the Judiciary Committee.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Abboud will handle the Judiciary Committee amendments. Senator Abboud.

SENATOR ABOUD: Yes, Mr. President, the amendments are somewhat technical in nature. But it doesn't make too specific changes. In the section...on page 2, Section 3, line 11 it strikes language, it is enforceable without consideration. So the sentence would now read, a premarital agreement must be in writing and signed by both parties. And it takes out the language dealing without consideration. So there would have to be consideration to be enforceable. The second change is on page 3, Section 6 where it strikes the entire section, where it